Tea and Deforestation: Mostly Historical, Locally Real

From the teas.co.uk wiki

> **Tea and deforestation, in summary:** Tea's forest impact is real and regionally significant but uneven and largely historical: most clearance happened during colonial plantation expansion, current pressure is concentrated in a few regions, and the living issue is low-biodiversity monoculture more than fresh clearance.

Deforestation is the most serious environmental issue in tea, and the most useful fact is that it is real and regionally significant but uneven: tea cultivation has driven genuine forest and biodiversity loss in particular places and periods, while in others tea is grown on long-established land with comparatively limited fresh clearance. Getting it right means neither downplaying it nor implying every cup drives rainforest loss.

Last reviewed by the teas.co.uk team in April 2026.

What the issue actually is

Expanding tea cultivation, like expanding any agriculture, has historically meant clearing land, and in biodiverse, hilly, high-rainfall tea regions that has at times meant clearing forest, with consequences for habitat, species, soil, water and the carbon stored in that land. Land-use change from forest to plantation is one of the largest carbon and biodiversity impacts in agriculture generally, and tea is not exempt where expansion has come at the forest's expense; this is the genuine environmental heart of tea's footprint, far more than transport. Forests get cleared for tea in three ways. The first is original plantation establishment, overwhelmingly historical, since the bulk of expansion happened between roughly 1830 and 1930. The second is expansion of existing estates onto adjacent forest, which still happens at the margins and is now limited by national forest law in most major producing countries. The third is indirect, through fuel: small producers using firewood to wither and dry leaf can pressure local forests, which is the most practical current lever, shifting them to gas, electricity or biomass briquettes.

Where it matters most

The impact is concentrated, not uniform. In Kenya, rapid expansion between roughly 1960 and 2000 cleared significant forest on the eastern slopes of the Mau and Aberdare regions, slowing since 2000 under stronger conservation law though pressure remains. In Vietnam and Myanmar, tea has expanded in the northern highlands in recent decades, with forest-edge encroachment for smallholdings a documented concern and

less monitoring in Myanmar. In India and Sri Lanka, the established estates have relatively stable boundaries, so the forest concern there is mostly about biodiversity within the estate landscape rather than active clearance. Most major Chinese tea regions are stable, with some local pressure where tourism-driven tea agriculture is new. So "tea causes deforestation" is true as a regional and historical statement and misleading as a blanket claim about every tea.

The biodiversity dimension

Even where forests are not being cleared now, plantation landscapes are biological deserts compared with the forests they replaced. A tea plantation is a monoculture, hundreds of hectares of one species, conventionally sprayed with pesticides and herbicides, in which native birds, mammals and amphibians struggle to live, so the issue is ongoing low-biodiversity land use, not only historical clearance. Shade-grown tea, where canopy trees (often legumes such as Albizia or Erythrina) are retained between the rows, creates a far more biodiverse habitat: birds, insects and mammals return, soil structure improves, and tea quality may even benefit from the slower growth and cooler microclimate. The yield per hectare is lower, so the price has to be higher, which is why only a minority of producers, mostly in Sri Lanka and parts of southern India, work this way. Forest fragments retained within estates, often along streams, also act as valuable wildlife corridors.

What genuinely helps

The non-preachy options, recognising that the main levers are systemic. Environmental certification, Rainforest Alliance above all, is most relevant here, because its original mission was tropical forest conservation and certified estates must protect biodiversity and avoid converting natural ecosystems; whatever its wage limits, on this issue it is a reasonable if imperfect signal. Beyond labels, what helps at scale is no-deforestation sourcing commitments, agroforestry and shade systems that retain tree cover, restoration around plantations, and simply not expanding into forest, choices made by producers and buyers more than by individuals. Organic certification helps biodiversity indirectly through banned synthetics, direct-trade transparency lets a producer show you their land and forest policy, and several major tea companies have made deforestation-free supply-chain pledges, usually broader than tea and worth following. The individual contribution is preferring credible environmental certification and transparent sellers while recognising the structural nature of the problem.

What doesn't help, and the wider picture

Some claims sound reassuring and mean little. "Sustainable" without specifics says nothing about forest impact. "Carbon neutral" via offsets does not address deforestation unless the offsets are forest-protection projects with verified additionality. And a generic country of origin tells you nothing about a given estate's biodiversity practice. For scale, global tea covers around 5.5 million hectares, less than half the area of palm oil and under a tenth that of soy, so tea is not the dominant agricultural deforestation driver. But specific regions, moments and producers do still affect forests, not because tea as a crop is inherently destructive, but because any monoculture on land that was once forest carries that legacy. Awareness rather than guilt is the realistic stance. This is general environmental information, not a health claim.

How to spot the marketing

A few habits sort the meaningful signals from the decorative ones. The Rainforest Alliance frog mark is the most specific consumer signal of a biodiversity audit, so look for it. "Deforestation-free" pledges are corporate-level direction of travel, so without verification treat them as a soft signal rather than hard fact. And genuinely shade-grown, biodiversity-promoting estates exist but rarely advertise the fact, so the easiest way to find them is through specialist loose-leaf retailers who name their producers. The actionable steps are modest and real: prefer Rainforest Alliance certified tea, look for shade-grown tea where you can, and favour single-estate loose leaf from producers who name their biodiversity practice, all in the full tea shop, where UK delivery is free over £35.

Tea and deforestation at a glance

Question	Answer
Is tea a major driver of deforestation?	Less than palm oil, soy or beef, but it has been a regional driver in places such as Kenya, Vietnam and parts of India. Historical clearance was vast.
When was the worst clearance?	The 19th and early 20th centuries, when Indian and Sri Lankan plantations were established by clearing primary forest at scale.
Where is expansion happening now?	Mostly stable in established regions; modest expansion in Vietnam, Myanmar and parts of East Africa, with forest-edge encroachment a concern.
What about shade-grown tea?	Shade-grown estates retain canopy trees and biodiversity far better than mono-crop estates. A minority of producers favour it.
What about firewood for processing?	Small producers using firewood to wither and dry leaf can pressure local forests; larger estates use gas, electricity or biomass briquettes.
Does Rainforest Alliance help?	Yes, directly. Its original mission was forest conservation; certified estates must protect biodiversity and avoid converting natural ecosystems.
Can I tell from the packaging?	Look for the Rainforest Alliance frog mark or a specific shade-grown claim. Most other labels do not address forest impact directly.

Reference noted

- Our World in Data: Deforestation and Forest Loss

FROM THE CURATOR

teas · Free UK delivery starts at £35, which is two or three good bags. Build a small order rather than a single splurge.

More from the tea wiki

- Tea plantation labour
- Tea's carbon footprint

- Tea certifications
- Shade-grown tea
- Organic tea
- Single estate vs blended

Earn rewards on teas.co.uk

Earn loyalty points on every order. Free to join.

+100 pts

Create your free account

Welcome bonus + earn on every order.



100 pts = 1 tree

Plant a tree in Scotland

Pledge points to our reforestation partner.



teas.co.uk · Tunbridge Wells, Kent · hello@teas.co.uk · 3-click cancel at teas.co.uk/cancel/